Anthelmintic activity of the ethanol extract of the Uncaria guianensis (Rubiaceae) on eggs and larvae of gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep in the Western Amazon Region
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ABSTRACT: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the anthelmintic effect of the root and stem of Uncaria guianensis on eggs and larvae of gastrointestinal nematodes from naturally infected sheep. The extract of the root of Uncaria guianensis was tested at concentrations of 0.57mg / mL and 1.14mg / mL and the extract of the stem of Uncaria guianensis at concentrations of 1.25mg / mL and 2.5mg / mL. The animals were divided in groups of five animals each, where four groups received the plant extract and a dose / concentration, one group received the anti-helmintic closantel and another group received only distilled water, totaling six treated groups. Ten mL were applied orally on days treatment, in a single dose for the groups treated with the extract, the closantel group received the dose recommended by the manufacturer and the negative group received 10 mL of distilled water. Stool samples were collected weekly for parasitological analysis and blood for hematocrit. The results of the OPG were analyzed after log transformation (x + 1) and submitted to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's test at 5% of probability. Third stage L3 larvae were recovered from co-culture and subjected to the 5% tukey test. The results showed a reduction in the number of eggs per gram of feces, in the group treated with Uncaria guianensis root at a concentration of 1.14mg / mL and in the chemically treated group, where they obtained a statistically significant reduction (p <5%), when compared to the other treated groups, presenting 69% and 66% respectively in the reduction percentage. Regarding the number of third-stage larvae of gastrointestinal nematodes recovered from sheep, after treatment they observed that the groups treated with closantel, Uncaria guianensis root at a concentration of 1.14mg / mL and a stem of Uncaria guianensis at a concentration of 1.25mg / mL were statistically different (p <5%) from the other treatments, with 68%, 68% and 86% respectively reduction in the number of larvae. As for the hematocrit, the results remained within the reference standards for the Amazon Biome. Thus, we conclude that Uncaria guianensis has ovicidal and larvicidal activity on gastrointestinal nematodes in sheep.
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RESUMO: O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar o efeito anti-helmíntico da raiz e do caule de Uncaria guianensis sobre ovos e larvas de nematóides gastrintestinais de ovelhas naturalmente infectadas. O extrato da raiz de Uncaria guianensis foi testado nas concentrações de 0,57mg / mL e 1,14mg / mL e o extrato do caule de Uncaria guianensis nas concentrações de 1,25mg / mL e 2,5mg / mL. Os animais foram divididos em grupos de cinco animais cada, onde quatro grupos receberam o extrato vegetal e uma dose / concentração, um grupo recebeu o anti-helmíntico closantel e outro grupo recebeu apenas água destilada, totalizando seis grupos tratados. Foram aplicados dez mL por via oral nos dias de tratamento, em dose única para os grupos tratados com o extrato, o grupo closantel recebeu a dose preconizada pelo fabricante e o grupo negativo recebeu 10 mL de água destilada. Amostras de fezes foram coletadas semanalmente para análise parasitológica e sangue para hematocrito. Os resultados do OPG foram analisados após transformação logarítmica (x + 1) e submetidos à análise de variância unilateral (ANOVA) e teste de Tukey a 5% de probabilidade. As larvas L3 de terceiro estágio foram recuperadas da co-cultura e submetidas ao teste de tyuey a 5% de probabilidade. As larvas L3 de terceiro estágio foram recuperadas da co-cultura e submetidas ao teste de tyuey a 5%. Os resultados mostraram uma redução no número de ovos por grama de fezes, no grupo tratado com raiz de Uncaria guianensis na concentração de 1,14mg / mL e no grupo tratado quimicamente, onde obtiveram redução estatisticamente significativa (p <5%), quando comparados aos demais grupos tratados, apresentando 69% e 66% respectivamente no percentual de redução. Em relação ao número de larvas de terceiro estágio de nematóides gastrintestinais recuperadas de ovelhas, após o tratamento observaram que os grupos tratados com closantel, raiz de Uncaria guianensis na concentração de 1,14mg / mL e caule de Uncaria guianensis na concentração de 1,25mg / mL foram estatisticamente diferentes (p <5%) dos demais tratamentos, com redução de 68%, 68% e 86% respectivamente no número de larvas. Quanto ao hematocrito, os resultados permaneceram dentro dos padrões de referência para o Bioma Amazônia. Assim, concluímos que Uncaria guianensis possui atividade ovicida e larvicida sobre nematóides gastrintestinais em ovinos.
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INTRODUCTION
Sheep and goat farming in Brazil represents one of the main sources of income for small and medium-sized rural producers, because they have a low labor cost and quick profitability. However, problems in health and food management have caused great economic losses (RIBEIRO et al., 2014). Endoparasites are a major obstacle to the rearing of small ruminants, since these parasites, especially Haemonchus sp., cause great losses because they cause severe anemia, a decrease in the production of meat and milk and, occasionally, death (CORLEY & JARMON, 2012).

The conventional use of anthelmintics for control of endoparasites represents the main form of treatment; however, lack of knowledge about the epidemiology of parasites, inadequate use of these drugs (sub dosages) and management problems have led to the emergence of resistant strains (SINGH et al., 2014), as well as contamination of the environment and increase in the cost of production (ZARO et al., 2014).

New alternatives for control of endoparasites, such as use of medicinal plants with anthelmintic activity, have been used in the last years in an attempt to seek a more effective parasitic control. Moreover, they have low cost and non-polluting (ANDRADE et al., 2014). Brazil represents one of the largest sources of medicinal plants in the world, because it has the highest plant diversity (SANTOS et al., 2013). Several bioactive substances have been reported with anthelmintic properties such as condensed tannins (OLIVEIRA et al., 2011), flavonoids (FERNEX et al., 2012), saponins (EGRALE et al., 2007), steroids (CALA et al., 2012) and triterpenoids (MONTEIRO et al., 2011).

Phytotherapy in the control of verminoses is an alternative that can reduce the use of anthelmintics and prolong the life of the available chemical products. Many plants are traditionally known for their anthelmintic properties, but their efficacy needs to be proven scientifically (BORGES, 2014).

Among the several plants with pharmacological activity, Uncaria guianensis, popularly known as cat’s claw, has been well studied for its curative effect on inflammatory problems (URBANIBIA et al., 2013) in bacterial diseases (CORREA et al., 2008), and tumor diseases (SANTOS et al., 2016, KAISER et al., 2016). According to reports from riverside communities in the Amazon, the plant also has an effect on gastrointestinal problems. Thus, the purpose of this work is to report, for the first time, the anthelmintic potential of Uncaria guianensis on eggs and larvae of gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep, and its effect on the hematocrit of naturally infected sheep, its phytochemical profile and toxicological analysis as an alternative in the control of parasites in the Western Amazon, Brazil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Ethics Committee
The experiment was approved by the Animal Testing Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Acre (Protocol number 69/2015).

Collection of the botanical material
Root and stem samples of Uncaria guianensis were collected at the Zoobotanical Park (PZ) of the Federal University of Acre - UFAC (9 ° 58’29” “south and 67 ° 48’36” W), identified and deposited in the herbarium of the Federal University of Acre, with exsicata number 6422 (Uncaria guianensis). The preparation of the excisata, from collection to herborization, was described according to the methodology of Cartaxo et al. (2010).

Preparation of the crude ethanolic extract
Root and stem of Uncaria guianensis were collected and submitted to dehydration in a forced ventilation oven at 60 °C for 24 hours, and then weighed and ground. The ethanolic extracts of the Uncaria guianensis of the root (EERUG) and the stem (EESUG) of Uncaria guianensis were prepared in the natural products laboratory of the Acre State Research Support Foundation (FUNTAC). Samples of Uncaria guianensis (root and stem) were extracted through a 70% alcoholic solution. After seven days of percolation, the vegetal material was filtered and submitted to evaporation to produce a viscous material. For efficient evaporation of the solvent, the material was placed in tared glass vials and then placed in a water bath; subsequently, it was lyophilized at a temperature of about -30 °C for 24 hours.

In vitro tests
In the In vitro tests, the degree of toxicity to Artemia salina was analyzed for determination of CL50. After the CL50 value was determined, an analysis was made of the ovidicial and larvicidal effect of the plant on gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep. Tests for phytochemical prospection were analyzed to check the presence of secondary metabolites with anthelmintic activity. The method of Araújo et al. (2010) was used to determine the degree of toxicity of the stem and root of Uncaria guianensis against Artemia salina. The extracts were diluted in a 1% dimethylsulfoxide solution (DMSO) at concentrations of 100, 500 and 1000ug / mL. Three control groups were used: CG1 (control group 1: 1% DMSO); GC2 (Control group 2: solution isotonic saline) and GC3 (control group 3: 1% NaClO).

The values found with the mean number of dead Nauplius were submitted to statistical analysis, in which the lethal concentration was estimated at 50% (CL50) of the larvae by the method of Probit analysis, and by the mathematical Trimmed Spearman-Karber method with a 95% confidence interval.

After the CL50 of the extracts was calculated, ovidicial and larvicidal tests were performed through copropcrudes using the methodology of Robert & Sullivan (1950). 2mL of the ethanolic extract was added at a concentration to be determined according to the toxicity test (quoted above) for fecal cultures naturally infected by gastrointestinal nematodes. In the negative control group, distilled water was used while...
Acta Veterinaria Brasilica March 15 (2021) 66-74

The number of third instar larvae (L3) of ovine gastrointestinal nematodes, obtained from coproculutures treated with...
the ethanolic extract of the root and the stem of cat’s claw (Uncaria guianensis), showed a reduction of larvae (p<0.05%) of the treated groups when compared to the control group (Table 1). However, there was no difference with the values compared to the chemically treated group, which shows that the plant extracts were as efficient as closantel, one of the commonly used active principles.

Regarding the qualitative phytochemical test, Uncaria guianensis was positive for different chemical compounds (Table 2).

When evaluating the in vivo test, the percentage of reduction of the number of eggs per gram of feces (EPG) of naturally infected sheep was found only in the group treated with Uncaria guianensis at a concentration of 1.14 mg / mL and in the treated chemically group, when compared to the other treated groups, with reduction percentage of 69% and 66%, respectively. Animals from the stem-treated group of Uncaria guianensis did not present percentage of 69% and 66%, respectively. Animals from the stem-treated group of Uncaria guianensis did not present satisfactory results. (Table 3).

As regards the number of third-stage larvae (L3) of gastrointestinal nematodes recovered from sheep after treatment with Uncaria guianensis, the groups treated with closantel, the root of Uncaria guianensis at the concentration of 1.14mg / mL and the stem of Uncaria guianensis (p<0.05%), when compared to the other treatments, showed reductions in the number of larvae of 68%, 68% and 86%, respectively (Table 4).

As for the hematocrit of treated animals, it was found that there was a significant reduction (p <0.05) in hematocrit values only in the groups treated with the root and the stem of Uncaria guianensis in the duplicate dose (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

According to the classification described by Meyer (1982), a product is considered to be toxic when it presents a lethal LC 50 concentration less than 1000ug / mL. In this work, the toxicity test with Artemia salina Leach served as an initial basis to investigate its biological activity on gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep. According to Amarante et al. (2011), the 50% lethal concentration (CL50) is an indicator

**Table 2.** Phytochemical analysis of the ethanolic extract of the root of Uncaria guianensis (E.E.C.R.) and the ethanolic extract of the stem of Uncaria guianensis (E.E.C.S.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>E.E.C.R.</th>
<th>E.E.C.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloides (Bouchardat reactive)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloides (Drangendorff reactive)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloides (Mayer reactive)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloides (Bertrand reactive)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol and tannins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids and triterpenoids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthraquinones</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing sugars</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic acids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*positive reaction, -negative reaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>82.00±17.06* (95%)</td>
<td>61.00±32.91* (76%)</td>
<td>12.00±6.25* (78%)</td>
<td>107.00±155.12* (27%)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00* (100%)</td>
<td>3.00±3.46* (86%)</td>
<td>265±194.29* (87%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>59.67±40.81* (83%)</td>
<td>107.33±27.03* (57%)</td>
<td>41.67±22.73* (22%)</td>
<td>327.67±233.26* (0%)</td>
<td>9.67±8.62c (17%)</td>
<td>2.67±2.09a (86%)</td>
<td>748.67±314.05* (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>12.67±15.31* (99%)</td>
<td>0.33±0.58* (100%)</td>
<td>2.67±2.08* (95%)</td>
<td>2.67±4.62* (98%)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00* (100%)</td>
<td>0.67±0.58* (97%)</td>
<td>19.00±22.721 (99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>6.67±4.73* (100%)</td>
<td>0.33±0.58* (100%)</td>
<td>0.67±1.16* (99%)</td>
<td>1.00±1.00* (99%)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00* (100%)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00* (100%)</td>
<td>8.67±7.231 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5</td>
<td>45.33±55.95* (97%)</td>
<td>16.00±17.58* (94%)</td>
<td>4.33±4.51* (93%)</td>
<td>8.33±7.02* (94%)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00* (100%)</td>
<td>0.33±0.58* (99%)</td>
<td>74.33±80.581 (99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6</td>
<td>9.33±6.66* (99%)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00* (100%)</td>
<td>1.33±0.58* (98%)</td>
<td>3.00±1.00* (98%)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00* (100%)</td>
<td>0.33±0.58* (85%)</td>
<td>14.00±0.681 (99%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values followed by different letters in the same column differ statistically (p = 0.05) by Tukey’s test for independent samples. G1: Positive control (closantel 7.5%); G4: Cat’s claw root (Duplicate dose: 1.14mg / mL), G5: Cat’s claw stem LC 50% (0.57mg / mL), G6: cat’s claw stem (duplicate dose: 2.5mg / mL).
Table 3. Arithmetic mean, re-transformed log (x + 1), standard deviation and percentage of reduction of number of eggs per gram of feces (EPG) of naturally infected sheep treated with the ethanolic extract of the root and the stem of Cat’s claw (*Uncaria guianensis*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Day zero/OPG</th>
<th>Day 07/OPG</th>
<th>Day 14/OPG</th>
<th>Day 21/OPG</th>
<th>Day 28/OPG</th>
<th>Day 35 OPG</th>
<th>RCOF%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>10560 (±125.9)</td>
<td>2520 (±201.3)</td>
<td>3520 (±2295.6)</td>
<td>4780 (±4401.5)</td>
<td>4140 (±2897.9)</td>
<td>3620 (±1925)</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>640 (±162.5)</td>
<td>1320 (±1002.8)</td>
<td>640 (±344.1)</td>
<td>460 (±287.1)</td>
<td>540 (±102)</td>
<td>580 (±116.6)</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>2320 (±1522.4)</td>
<td>3800 (±3507.7)</td>
<td>1420 (±1146.1)</td>
<td>1560 (±1645.1)</td>
<td>2520 (±2679.9)</td>
<td>1800 (±1621.1)</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>3220 (±1502.5)</td>
<td>3760 (±2327.8)</td>
<td>460 (±591.9)</td>
<td>1900 (±1823.2)</td>
<td>2180 (±1702.2)</td>
<td>1000 (±914.3)</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5</td>
<td>3200 (±2155)</td>
<td>1280 (±1036.1)</td>
<td>2480 (±3357.6)</td>
<td>5180 (±3680.4)</td>
<td>5940 (±6950.9)</td>
<td>5940 (±6950.9)</td>
<td>-86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6</td>
<td>4420 (±3861.3)</td>
<td>5520 (±4823.9)</td>
<td>1900 (±1554.3)</td>
<td>7720 (±9285.98)</td>
<td>10660 (9271.2)</td>
<td>6900 (±4975.1)</td>
<td>-56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values followed by different letters in the rows differ statistically (p < 0.05) by Tukey’s test for independent samples. G1: positive control, Closantel; G2: negative control; G3: cat’s claw root = 0.57mg / mL; G4: cat’s claw root 1.14mg / mL (group with duplicate dose); G5: cat’s claw stem = 1.25mg / mL; G6: cat’s claw stem = 2.5mg / mL.

Table 4. Mean, standard deviation (SD) and percentage of reduction (%) of third stage larvae (L3) of gastrointestinal nematodes recovered from Santa Inês crossbred sheep, after treatment with the ethanolic extract of the root and stem of cat’s claw (*Uncaria guianensis*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus - L3</th>
<th>Control +</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Control -</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>C.C.R.1</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>C.C.R. 2</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>C.C.S. 1</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>C.C.S. 2.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Haemonchus</em> spp.</td>
<td>272.00 (±1225.28)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>816.667 (±340.34)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>474.00 (±96.67)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>827.33 (±445.06)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4595.67 (±1784.95)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89.00 (±60.36)</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichostrongylus</em> spp.</td>
<td>77.67 (±56.87)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>299.000 (±53.70)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90.33 (±69.14)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>343.00 (±231.62)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>321.00 (±56.93)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>115.00 (±107.50)</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Oesophagostomum</em> spp.</td>
<td>101.67 (±72.92)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26.333 (±14.15)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6.67 (±4.62) b</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>13.66 (±15.82)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>14.67 (±9.61)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>41.67 (±42.37)</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strongyloides</em> spp.</td>
<td>182.33 (±195.99)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>387.667 (±58.80)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--------- a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--------- a</td>
<td>1842.33 (±1722.82)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38.00 (±54.03)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cooperia</em> spp.</td>
<td>0.00 (±0.00)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.00 (±1.00)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.00 (±0.00) b</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00 (±0.00)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>132.33 (±206.71)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00 (±0.00)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ostertagia</em> spp.</td>
<td>4.33 (±4.04)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>17.000 (±5.29)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>68.00 (±54.51)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>193.33 (±173.52)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>190.33 (±35.16)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.67 (±3.79)</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total larva(L3)</strong></td>
<td>638.00 (±536.05)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1555.667 (±345.63)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>639.00 (±159.93)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1377.33 (±802.34)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7096.33 (±3092.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>286.33 (±263.77)</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values followed by different letters in the same column and number per row differ statistically (p < 0.05) by Tukey’s test for independent samples. Positive control: Closantel 7.5%; negative control: distilled water; C.C.R.1: Cat’s claw root, duplicate dose: 1.14mg / mL C.C.R.2: Cat’s claw root at 50% lethal concentration: 0.57mg / mL; C.C.S. 1: cat’s claw stem 2.5mg / mL (duplicate dose group); C.C.S. 2: Cat’s claw root at 50% lethal concentration: 1.25mg / mL. * Countless numbers of strongyloides.

Table 5. Mean percentage values of the Hematocrit of sheep naturally infected by gastrointestinal nematodes treated with the ethanolic extract of the root and the stem of cat’s claw (*Uncaria guianensis*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day/treatmet</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>G2</th>
<th>G3</th>
<th>G4</th>
<th>G5</th>
<th>G6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day zero</td>
<td>19.27 (±9.1)</td>
<td>36.3 (±2.4)</td>
<td>30.45 (±6.52)</td>
<td>30.8 (±4.2)</td>
<td>28.6 (±8.0)</td>
<td>28.1 (±4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>25 (±8.0)</td>
<td>38.2 (±4.2)</td>
<td>33.93 (±7.59)</td>
<td>31.4 (±3.2)</td>
<td>29.04 (±9.9)</td>
<td>30.1 (±3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>20.6 (±6.2)</td>
<td>30.2 (±1.6)</td>
<td>24.68 (±5.93)</td>
<td>32.4 (±2.4)</td>
<td>20.77 (±10.3)</td>
<td>20.4 (±6.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values followed by different letters per column differ statistically (p < 0.05) by Tukey’s test for independent samples. G1: positive control, Closantel; G2: negative control; G3: cat’s claw root = 0.57mg / mL; G4: cat’s claw root 1.14mg / mL (group with duplicate dose); G5: cat’s claw stem: 1.25mg / mL; G6: cat’s claw stem: 2.5mg / mL (duplicate dose).
that there are bioactive metabolites that can be used for an anthelmintic effect.

The results in vitro demonstrate the larvicidal action of *Uncaria guianensis* on gastrointestinal nematode larvae, especially the genus Haemonchus spp, a species responsible for the highest losses in animal production, as a result of its high pathogenicity and anthelmintic resistance (ANDRADE et al., 2014). According to the results, the groups treated with *Uncaria guianensis* were efficient in their larvicidal activity with a reduction percentage of almost 100% compared to the control group, which was 87%.

According to the norms established by the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply (MAPA), ordinance no. 48/1997 (BRAZIL, 2011), *Uncaria guianensis* was highly effective against eggs and larvae of gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep in the Western Amazon, while the chemically-treated group was moderately effective.

Secondary metabolites are used in medicine because of their great variability in biological activity. Several studies have confirmed that phenolic compounds are involved in antioxidant (SILVA et al., 2010), anti-inflammatory (SINGH et al, 2003), antimicrobial (SIMÔES, 2007), and anthelmintic (OLIVEIRA et al., 2011) activities. Tannins act direct on the natural cycle of helminths, as well as inhibit rumen degradation of proteins, thus making the animals more resistant to diseases (KETZIS et al., 2013). The use of polyvinyl polypyrrolidone (PVPP) for confirmation of the antihelminthic (OLIVEIRA et al., 2011) activities. Tannins act direct on the natural cycle of helminths, as well as inhibit rumen degradation of proteins, thus making the animals more resistant to diseases (KETZIS et al., 2013). The use of polyvinyl polypyrrolidone (PVPP) for confirmation of the antihelminthic activity of condensed tannins, has suggested that other bioactive metabolites may also be acting synergistically, as is the case of saponins and flavonoids (MACEDO et al., 2011). Therefore, the phytochemical tests in the present study showed that the ethanolic crude extracts from *Uncaria guianensis* have chemical metabolites that may be responsible for anthelmintic activity.

The in vivo results show that the root of *Uncaria guianensis*, at a concentration of 1.14 mg / mL, presented anthelmintic activity because there was a significant reduction in its ovicidal and larvicidal activity, that is, in some way, the root extract of *Uncaria guianensis* interfered with the reproduction of nematodes by reducing their parasitic load and hindering the development of third stage larvae, thereby reducing the contamination of pastures. Although the stem of *Uncaria guianensis* did not show a reduction in egg count per gram of feces (EPG), it showed negative results (-86%), that is, the animals continued with high parasite load levels, but the number of third-stage (L3) larvae of gastrointestinal nematodes was significantly reduced after treatment at the concentration of 1.25mg / mL, with an 86% percent reduction in larvae. This explains why the ethanolic extract of the stem of cat’s claw did not interfere in the reproduction of nematodes, which continued after oviposition, although the viability of these eggs was impaired. Thus, somehow, there was a direct interference on larval development.

This anthelmintic response of *Uncaria guianensis* may be associated with the presence of secondary metabolites in the plant, and the root possibly has a higher amount of these metabolites than the stem, because the root has been more efficient in its anthelmintic activity. Testing with different parts of the plant should be analyzed, since active principles can be found in different parts of the plant. In addition to this choice, the preparation of a plant for medicinal purposes is essential in order for bioactive metabolites to exert their pharmacological effect, and for their chemical properties not to be modified (PINTO et al., 2000)

The biological effects of medicinal plants are mainly attributed to the presence of secondary metabolites. The investigation of chemical components is the first step in the development of new anthelmintic drugs (MACEDO et al., 2011).

The results found in the present work may be linked to the presence of condensed tannins, since this chemical component has the ability to bind to parasite protein complexes (ALONSO DIAZ, 2011) because it affects the biology of nematodes, interfering with motility, cuticule elimination, egg hatching and larval development (FERNEX et al., 2012). They thus affect the reproductive function of nematodes by reducing the number of eggs in oviposture (MACEDO et al., 2012), reducing the number of infective larvae in the environment or improving the animals’ immune response to parasites (HOSTE et al., 2006; OLIVEIRA et al., 2011)

Other secondary metabolites such as triterpenoids, sesquiterpene lactones, saponins, flavonoids and steroids have been implicated in anthelmintic activity of small ruminants. (MARIE-MAGDELEINE et al., 2009; MORAIM COSTA et al., 2015; MORAIM COSTA et al., 2014; NOGUEIRA et al., 2012).

Other plant species of the Rubiaceae family have also been reported to have anthelmintic activity. Onyeyili et al. (2001), when evaluating the aqueous extract of the stem of *Nauclea latifolia* on ovine nematodes, found a significant reduction (93%) in the number of eggs in the feces of infected animals. Brito et al. (2009) found in vitro anthelmintic activity of the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *Morina citrifolia* on chicken nematodes (*Ascaridia galli*) with 50% and 77% efficiency of the product. Wabo Pone et al. (2010) also found nematocidal properties in in vitro tests with the extract of *Canthium mannii* in different stages of life of *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* (Nematoda, Heligmosomatidae) in West Cameroon (Central Africa). In vivo tests with the extract of *Canthium mannii* in rodents parasitized by *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* showed that this compound is effective in reducing both faecal egg counts and total worm counts by 75% and 84%, respectively (WABO PONE et al., 2009).

Antiparasitic activity have also been reported for plants of the Rubiaceae family such as *Mitracarpus frigidus* against *Schistosoma mansoni* (FABRI et al., 2014), *Craterispermum laurinum* and *Morinda lucida* against *Onchocerca ochengi* (SAMJE
et al., 1994), Plasmodium falciparum (Koumaglo et al. 1992) Trypanosoma brucei (Kwofie et al., 2016; Asuzu et al., 1990), and Leishmania (Amoa Bosompem et al., 2016).

The of hematocrit results show that the groups treated with Uncaria guianensis did not influence the adult form of the parasite, since they continued with their intense hematothaphy. Despite the reduction in the hematocrit, hematocrit reference values for sheep were within the reference values in the Amazonian biome, i.e., -20.7-38.7% for sheep of 7-24 months (Lima et al., 2015). The animals did not present signs of anemia. Therefore, the results found in this study are within the reference values for sheep raised in the Amazon biome.

Studies have shown that the genre Uncaria protects human erythrocytes from damaging effects against catalase. Catalase is an enzymatic protein found in aerobic cells, primarily in the liver, kidney and red blood cells; it is used as a useful marker for these (protective) organs. In erythrocytes, catalase is found as a soluble marker protecting against peroxidation of hemoglobin (AEBI, 1984). Bors et al. (2011) found that Uncaria tomentosa did not induce hemolysis of the heritrichocytes nor lipid peroxidation, and there was no interference with the structures and function of hemoglobin, nor oxidation or denaturation. Phenolic compounds may be present in this protective action against hemolysis and in the oxidation process.

Swwalsky et al. (2008) also corroborate that the effects mentioned above are due to the presence of polyphenols in the plant. Polyphenols have the ability to clear free radicals and inhibit lipid peroxidation (Prochazkova et al., 2011). Similar results were also found by Bukowska et al. (2012).

This work is the first to report the anti-helminth activity of Uncaria guianensis on gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep, but several studies cite other pharmacological activities of this species or of the genus Uncaria, e.g., anti-inflammatory and anti-tumoral (Santos et al., 2016; Kaiser et al., 2016; Urbanibia et al., 2013; Caon et al., 2014), anti-allergic (Carvalho et al., 2006), antimicrobial (Correia et al., 2008) activity. These authors confirm the presence of chemical metabolites that may be associated with these therapeutic effects, such as oxindolic alkaloids, flavonoids and polyphenols, which may act alone or synergistically.

**CONCLUSION**

The results found in this study confirm that Uncaria guianensis has ovicidal and larvicidal activity on gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep in the Western Amazon, and that the presence of bioactive chemical substances such as condensed tannins can be a determining factor for such activity, thus resulting in a good alternative for control of nematodes.

**REFERENCES**


Bukowska, B. et al. 2012. Uncaria tomentosa extracts protect human erythrocyte catalase against damage induced by 2,4-D-Na.


MORAIS-COSTA, F. et al. 2015. Plants of the Cerrado naturally selected by grazing sheep may have potential for inhibiting development of *Haemonchus contortus* larva. *Trop Anim Health Prod.*, (47):1321-1328.


RIBEIRO, A.R.C. et al. 2014. Study of the antihelminthic activity of the ethanol extract of the *Uncaria guianensis* (Rubiaceae) on eggs and larvae of gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep in the Western Amazon Region.


SIMÕES, C. M. O. 2007 Farmacognosia da planta ao medicamento. Porto Alegre: UFRGS.


SINGH, G. et al. 2014. In vitro anthelmintic efficacy of aqueous extract of Scindapsus officinalis(Roxb.) Schott fruits against Haemonchus contortus os small ruminants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India, Section B Biological Sciences. DOI: 10.1007/40011-014-0389-5


