WOODY NECROMASS STOCK IN MIXED OMBROPHILOUS FOREST USING DIFFERENT SAMPLING METHODS
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ABSTRACT - The objective of this study was to quantify the necromass stock in a Mixed Ombrophilous Forest (MOF) fragment in the National Forest of Irati, State of Paraná, Brazil. Two sampling methods were tested: FA1, consisting of a fixed area (FA) approach with sample units measuring 2,500 m² (50 m x 50 m); and FA2, consisting of fixed area sampling units measuring 500 m² (10 m x 50 m) and line intercept sampling (LI) using 50 m lines. Data were collected on permanent sample plots installed in the area, consisting of 25 square blocks of 1 ha. Fallen dead wood pieces with a diameter ≥ 10 cm were used in the analysis. The dead wood was classified into three degrees of decomposition, and masses were calculated as the corresponding density at each class. The tested sampling methods were evaluated using coefficient of variation and relative sampling error, and the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare the results between the methods. Volume size of fallen dead wood did not statistically differ between the methods, but variation in necromass volume was lower in the FA1 method, whereas the FA2 method had a smaller sampling error. Overall sampling error ranged from 23.4–27.92%; lowering the sampling error to 15% would require a high sampling intensity (FA1: 42 area units [a.u.], FA2: 99 a.u., and LI: 236 a.u.). Total necromass weights amounted to 4.67 Mg.ha⁻¹ (FA1); 5.16 Mg.ha⁻¹ (FA2) and 4.58 Mg.ha⁻¹ (IL), and carbon stock estimates were 2.00 Mg.C.ha⁻¹ (FA1); 2.20 Mg.C.ha⁻¹ (FA2) and 1.96 Mg.C.ha⁻¹ (IL).
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RESUMO - O estudo teve por objetivo quantificar o estoque de necromassa, em um fragmento de Floresta Ombrófila Mista, na Floresta Nacional de Irati, estado do Paraná. Dois métodos de amostragem foram testados, sendo área fixa (AF) com unidades amostrais de 2500 m² (50 m x 50 m) - AF1; área fixa com unidades amostrais de 500 m² (10 m x 50 m) – AF2 e método de amostragem por Linha Interceptadora (LI), com linhas de 50 m. Os dados foram coletados em parcelas permanentes instaladas na área, constituídas por 25 blocos quadrados de 1 ha. Foram medidas peças de madeira morta caída com diâmetro ≥ 10 cm. A madeira morta foi classificada em três graus de decomposição e a massa foi calculada conforme a densidade correspondente a cada classe. Os métodos de amostragem testados foram avaliados por meio do Coeficiente de Variação e do Erro de Amostragem Relativo. Para comparações dos resultados entre os métodos utilizou-se o teste não paramétrico de Kruskal-Wallis. Os volumes para madeira morta caída não diferiram estatisticamente entre os métodos, porém o método AF1 teve menor variação do volume de necromassa e o método AF2 apresentou menor erro de amostragem. O erro amostral variou de 23,4 % a 27,92 % entre os métodos. Para atingir um erro de amostragem de 15% seria necessária uma elevada intensidade amostral (AF1: 42 unidades de área [a.u.], AF2: 99 u.a., e LI: 236 u.a.). O peso total de necromassa foi de 4,67 Mg.ha⁻¹ (AF1); 5,16 Mg.ha⁻¹ (AF2), e 4,58 Mg.ha⁻¹ (IL) e o estoque de carbono foi de 2,00 Mg.C.ha⁻¹ (AF1); 2,20 Mg.C.ha⁻¹ (AF2), e 1,96 Mg.C.ha⁻¹ (IL).

INTRODUCTION

Forests play an important role in mitigating climate change (SANQUETTA; DALLA CORTE; MAAS, 2013) by storing the atmospheric carbon with increasing biomass (BARBOSA et al., 2013). In addition, large carbon pools are also found in forest soils and necromass (FAO, 2013).

According to Harmon et al. (1986) and Ribeiro et al. (2012), necromass can be defined as any dead mass present in a forest resulting from woody residues (trunks of trees and branches in advanced states of decomposition), the minimum diameter of which can range from 2–10 cm for consideration in inventories, depending on the objective of the work (BARBOSA; SILVA; CAVALCANTE, 2009).

In mature forests, necromass represents about 5–25% of the total aboveground biomass (FAO, 2010). However, quantifications of this component for the purpose of assessing carbon stocks have rarely been incorporated into forest inventories (BROWN, 2002).

Maas (2015) stressed that forests not only act as sinks, but also as sources of carbon emissions, which can vary depending on changes in climate.

In this context, the expansion of scientific knowledge about the behavior of natural cycles involving carbon is a current necessity, given the considerable uncertainty about the magnitude and the regional distribution of forest carbon reservoirs, and our understanding of the dynamics of these reservoirs (STINSON et al., 2011; MAAS, 2015).

Research involving the dead wood forest is still scarce. In Brazil, most studies have been carried out in the Amazon Basin (KELLER et al., 2004; CHAO et al., 2009; CRUZ FILHO; SILVA, 2009; GONÇALVES SILVA et al., 2016), and in the Atlantic Forest (CARDOSO; VIBRANS; LINGNER, 2012; RIBEIRO et al., 2012; SILVA, 2013; SANQUETTA et al., 2014a; MAAS, 2015; OLIVEIRA, 2017).

The most commonly used sampling methods for estimating wood necromass volume are the line intercept and the fixed area plot. The line intercept method consists of arranging a predetermined size line on the forest floor and measuring the diameter of each piece of wood material that crosses the line (MAAS, 2015). Although it is a simple method, the line intercept requires considerable sampling intensity in order to attain acceptable levels of precision (SANQUETTA et al., 2014b). The advantages of the fixed area method, which normally involves significantly higher expenditures associated with the installation and maintenance of sample unit boundaries, include the practicality and simplicity of establishing such units in the field (SANQUETTA et al., 2014b).

Thick woody residues are difficult to quantify due to their irregular distribution. According to Miehs et al. (2010), this is particularly problematic in forest ecosystems in which these residues are relatively scarce, unequally distributed, and smaller than in other types of forest. In addition, there is no standardized method for sampling this type of material (TER-MIKAELEIAN; COLOMBO; CHEN, 2008; MIEHS et al., 2010), making comparisons between published studies difficult. Thus, the present study sought to compare the efficacy of two sampling methods (fixed area and line intercept) in quantifying the necromass (dead wood on the soil) stock in a Mixed Ombrophilous Forest (MOF) fragment in the Irati National Forest, Paraná, Brazil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The research was carried out in the Irati National Forest (FLONA - Irati), which is located in the southern region of the state of Paraná, and encompasses a total area of 3,495 ha. This region is characterized by a Cfb climate (Köppen climate classification), with mean temperature varying from 13.8–21.4°C and mean precipitation ranging from 152–245.4 mm (ANTONELI; FRANCISQUINI, 2014). Data were collected from 25 permanent plots installed in an MOF fragment (1,272.90 ha). Blocks were 1 ha (100 m × 100 m) in size (Figure 1), and divided into plots of 0.25 ha (50 m × 50 m) and subdivided into 5 control ranges of 0.05 ha (10 m × 50 m).

Two sampling methods were used: fixed area (FA) plots with two sizes (sample units), consisting of FA1 with 14 a.u. (area units) of 2,500 m² of area (50 m × 50 m) and FA2 with 42 a.u. of 500 m² (10 m × 50 m), which corresponded to 3.5 ha and 2.1 ha of sampling area, respectively; and a line intercept (LI) of 50 m in length, amounting to a total of 3,500 m.

The sample units were distributed systematically and located in the P3 plot of each block (Figure 2). In the FA2 method, three bands were selected to compose the sample unit, with bands separated by 10 m. For LI, 70 units were installed in lines placed within the P3 plot of 14 of the 25 blocks, and five lines spaced 10 m apart were established within each plot. Quantitative and qualitative data were obtained from all dead wood on the ground surface that was present in the sample units with a diameter ≥ 10 cm at the smallest tip.

Identical procedures were used for sampling and estimation of woody necromass volume in sample units FA1 and FA2. Every trunk, twig, or whole tree was measured and counted, and volume was calculated based on 1 m sections using the Smalian method.
For dead wood measured via LI sampling, the diameters at the point of line intersection were measured for all woody material with a diameter ≥10 cm that crossed the 50 m line. Criteria for measuring piece diameters were adapted from techniques recommended by Marshall, Davis and LeMay (2000), and the total volume per unit area was calculated using Van Wagner's (1968) equation (1):

\[ V = \sum d^2 \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{8 \cdot L} \]  

(1)

where \( V \) = volume; \( D \) = the diameter of the pieces at the point of intersection (cm); and \( L \) = line length (m).

The pieces found amidst the sample area were classified according to the level of decomposition (tactile/visual) into three classes in accordance with the adapted methodology of the National Forest Inventory - SFN (SFB, 2014). These classes consisted of C1: Initial decay - bark still intact, presence of branches, and texture of intact wood; C2: Intermediate decomposition - remnants of bark, without branches, and still firm wood; and C3: Advanced decomposition - without bark, without branches, and with wood in the stage of medium to advanced decomposition, crumbling appearance.

Statistical analysis was performed using samples chosen at random. To evaluate the accuracy of sampling, a 5% probability of error was considered, with a sampling error of 15%. As the data were not normally distributed and variances were non-homogeneous, comparison testing was performed using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis (KW) test, at \( P = 0.05 \).

To obtain necromass dry weight, different densities were used based on the degree of decay of the fallen dead wood. The wood densities we used were adapted from Maas (2015), in which C1 = 0.46 g.cm\(^{-3}\), C2 = 0.35 g.cm\(^{-3}\), and C3 = 0.24 g.cm\(^{-3}\). The weight of fallen wood necromass was calculated using equation (2) (RÜGNITZ; CHACÓN; PORRO, 2009):

![Figure 1. Permanent plots installed in the Irati National Forest.](image)

![Figure 2. Schematic of a block. A) Plot 50 m × 50 m; b) plot 10 m × 50 m.](image)
\[ N = \text{Vol} \times D_b \quad (2) \]

where \( N \) = necromass (Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) of fallen dead wood; \( \text{Vol} \) = volume (m\(^3\).ha\(^{-1}\)) of dead wood; and \( D_b \) = basic density of dead wood (g.cm\(^{-3}\)).

To estimate the size of the carbon stock in the necromass, we used equation 3:

\[ \Delta C = N \times TC \quad (3) \]

where \( \Delta C \) = amount of carbon in the necromass (Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)); \( N \) = fallen dead wood necromass (Mg ha\(^{-1}\)); \( TC \) = carbon content (g.kg\(^{-1}\)); and \( C_1 = 437.7 \); \( C_2 = 429.6 \); and \( C_3 = 424.6 \) (MAAS, 2015).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Estimated necromass volumes (m\(^3\).ha\(^{-1}\)) based on the FA1, FA2, and LI methods, as well as the results from the Kruskal-Wallis analysis, are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Comparison of the sampling methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Average volume</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>P - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FA1</td>
<td>16.77</td>
<td>5.99 ns</td>
<td>0.0869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA2</td>
<td>18.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>16.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FA: fixed area; LI: line intercept; ns: not significant.

As can be seen in Table 1, the FA2 sampling method generated higher estimates of dead wood volume than did the other methods, although the differences among the methods were not statistically significant (\( P = 0.0869 \)).

The calculated volumes were similar to estimates for an MOF in the state of Santa Catarina (18.73 m\(^3\).ha\(^{-1}\), pieces with a diameter ≥ 10 cm) made by Cardoso, Vibrans and Lingner (2012), but lower than those of Maas (2015) (30.05 m\(^3\).ha\(^{-1}\) for pieces with diameter ≥ 10 cm) and Ribeiro et al. (2012) (27.11 m\(^3\).ha\(^{-1}\), for pieces with diameter ≥ 3 cm), both of whom focused on an MOF fragment in the state of Paraná. Oliveira (2017), who estimated the volume of woody residue on the ground (pieces with diameter ≥ 2.5 cm) of an MOF, calculated an average volume of 20.04 m\(^3\).ha\(^{-1}\). Analysis of the different methods for a 15% sampling error in the sample units FA1, FA2, and LI are summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Coefficients of variation (CV), percentage errors (% error), number of sample units measured (n), and number of sampling units (n*) for 15% errors in necromass sampling using FA1, FA2, and LI methods in an MOF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Method</th>
<th>CV%</th>
<th>Error%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>n*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FA1</td>
<td>48.29</td>
<td>27.88</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA2</td>
<td>75.09</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>117.10</td>
<td>27.92</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FA1 = fixed Area (2,500 m\(^2\)); FA2 = fixed Area (500 m\(^2\)); LI = line intercept.

Coefficients of variation were high (Table 2), indicating that the woody stock was not homogeneously distributed within this forest, a pattern previously reported by Cardoso, Vibrans and Lingner (2012) and Oliveira (2017). In an inventory of a Santa Catarina forest, Cardoso, Vibrans and Lingner (2012) estimated the volume of woody residue with a diameter > 1 cm using 254 line transects 10 m in length and obtained a coefficient of variation of 149.06%. Oliveira (2017), using 110 line transects also 10 m in length, calculated an even higher coefficient of variation (249.92%) for diameters > 2.5 cm.

Woldendorp et al. (2004), in an evaluation of the different sampling methods for estimating dead wood in an Australian forest system, used FAs with square sample units of 100 m\(^2\), 400 m\(^2\), and 2,500 m\(^2\) and LI transects of different lengths (10, 20, 40, 60, and 80 m). They concluded that plots of fixed square area were more useful for measuring dead wood volumes in forests, and that variations (CV) in necromass volume were high and tightly linked to sampling intensity. Moreover, the authors reported that smaller sample units resulted in higher CVs for both FA and LI methods. Similarly, Bate et al. (2004) compared the effectiveness of different sampling methods in estimating the characteristics of woody material in mixed conifer stands in Oregon and Montana, USA. These authors concluded that the best method is dependent on the objective of the forest inventory and local conditions, but indicated that the banded area sampling method was more...
accurate and efficient than LI approaches when estimating the volume and density of woody material with a diameter ≥ 15 cm.

In contrast, Miehs et al. (2010), in a comparison of the efficacy of the LI and boundary area sampling methods in measuring woody material with diameter ≥ 5 cm in Eucalyptus forests, reported that variability was 20% lower for the LI method than for the boundary area approach, but they also noted that LIs shorter than 100 m were insufficient for generating accurate estimates of dead wood volume in these forest systems. The authors calculated CVs of 51.2% for a 450 m sample and 29.9% for a 700 m sample.

The sampling error (error % in Table 2) for average volumes per hectare was higher than the desired value of 15%. The lowest error (23.40%) was obtained for the 42 a.u. in the FA2 sampling method followed by that of the 14 a.u. (27.88%) in the FA1 and the 70 a.u. (27.92%) for the LI. We determined that the number of sample units optimal for a 15% error would be 42 a.u. for FA1, 99 a.u. for FA2, and 236 a.u. for LI. In a study carried out in an MOF near the city of São João do Triunfo, Paraná, Maas (2015) calculated an error of 58.43% for estimating the volume of dead wood using 60 a.u. of an LI 10 m in length.

Cardoso, Vibrans and Lingner (2012), in a study of necromass volume in an MOF in the states of Santa Catarina and Paraná, used a 15% error to estimate necromass volume of dead wood due to the heterogeneity of the analyzed variable, but instead obtained larger errors using the LI sampling method (16.36% for material with a diameter below 20 cm and 25.76% for all material). Cardoso et al. (2013), who analyzed the ideal sampling intensities for different line lengths based on sampling errors of 10% and 15%, found that optimal sampling intensity decreased with increasing line length.

Baker et al. (2007), while studying dead wood in forests in the Amazon Basin, compared stock estimates deriving from FA plots of 1 ha (100 m × 100 m) and 0.1 ha (20 m × 50 m), and an LI 100 m in length (a total of 400 m on each 1 ha plot) and determined that the volume estimated by the LI method (62.26 m$^3$.ha$^{-1}$) was higher than that estimated by the 1 ha FA approach (33.47 m$^3$.ha$^{-1}$).

Total necromass mass was estimated to be 4.67 Mg.ha$^{-1}$ (FA1), 5.16 Mg.ha$^{-1}$ (FA2), and 4.58 Mg.ha$^{-1}$ (LI). Silva (2013) estimated that there was 4.62 Mg.ha$^{-1}$ of thick necromass (diameter ≥ 10 cm) in a Semideciduous Seasonal Forest outside of Rio de Janeiro based on the results of LI sampling. Although the physiognomy, climate, and region are different, their necromass estimate was similar to that of our study (4.58 Mg.ha$^{-1}$). In an inventory of a forest in Santa Catarina, Cardoso, Vibrans and Lingner (2012) estimated that there was 7.9 Mg.ha$^{-1}$ of thick necromass (diameter ≥ 10 cm) in an MOF, 4.6 Mg.ha$^{-1}$ in a Dense Ombrophilous Forest, and 4.3 Mg.ha$^{-1}$ in a Deciduous Seasonal Forest; and Sanquetta et al. (2014a) estimated that the mass of dead wood material with a diameter > 7.5 cm amounted to 8.98 Mg.ha$^{-1}$ in a Semideciduous Seasonal Forest in Paraná.

Our estimates of carbon stocks (Figure 3) were lower than those reported by both Maas (2015) (4.24 Mg.C.ha$^{-1}$) for an MOF fragment in São João do Triunfo, Paraná, and Sanquetta et al. (2014a) (7.65 Mg.C.ha$^{-1}$) for a Semideciduous Seasonal Forest in Iguacu National Park, PR.

![Figure 3. Estimates of carbon stocks of dead wood calculated from the sampling methods AF1, AF2, and LI in this MOF.](image)

CONCLUSIONS

The methods evaluated in the present study presented a high degree of error than that desired, indicating the need for greater sampling intensity in order to improve accuracy. However, in terms of precision, the smallest sampling error was generated by the FA method with sample units of 500 m$^2$, leading us to conclude that this method is the most effective approach for quantifying necromass volume in the study area. In addition, we recommend that higher sampling intensities be used in future research focusing on quantifying necromass volumes in this forest system.
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